

AUGMENTED REALITY IN CUSTOMER ENGAGEMENT: ADVANCING GLOBAL ETHICS AND GOVERNANCE IN DIGITAL BUSINESS PRACTICES

Ms. S. Sathiya Priya¹, Dr. V. Sampathkumari²

¹Research Scholar, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Sri Kanyaka Parameswari Arts & Science College for Women, Chennai

²Associate Professor, Research Supervisor & Guide, PG & Research Department of Commerce, Sri Kanyaka Parameswari Arts & Science College for Women, Chennai

ABSTRACT

Augmented reality (AR) has emerged as a transformative force in digital business, reshaping how organizations engage with customers through immersive and interactive experiences. Beyond its role as a marketing innovation, AR offers unique opportunities to embed principles of global ethics and governance into customer engagement strategies. This paper examines how AR can be leveraged to ensure transparency, integrity, and accountability in digital business practices, while simultaneously enhancing consumer trust and loyalty. By integrating ethical frameworks with AR-driven engagement, businesses can educate customers about responsible consumption, foster inclusive participation, and align with sustainable global practices. The study highlights the potential of AR to act as both a technological enabler and a governance mechanism, bridging the gap between immersive digital experiences and the ethical imperatives of global markets. Through a multidisciplinary lens, this research positions AR as a catalyst for advancing ethical standards in customer engagement, contributing to the evolution of sustainable, transparent, and globally responsible digital business ecosystems.

Keywords: Augmented reality, Customer engagement, Digital business practices, Global ethics, Governance, Transparency, Integrity, Sustainability, Responsible consumption, Immersive experiences

I. INTRODUCTION

In the era of digital transformation, businesses are increasingly adopting innovative technologies to enhance customer engagement and build longterm relationships. Among these technologies, augmented reality (AR) has emerged as a powerful tool that bridges the physical and digital worlds, offering immersive experiences that reshape consumer perceptions and interactions. AR is no longer confined to entertainment or retail; it is becoming a strategic enabler of transparency, integrity, and accountability in global markets.

The integration of AR into digital business practices provides organizations with opportunities to align customer engagement strategies with broader principles of global ethics and governance. As consumers demand greater authenticity, fairness, and sustainability from

brands, AR can serve as a transformative medium to educate, inform, and empower them in making responsible choices. This positions AR not only as a marketing innovation but also as a governance mechanism that supports ethical standards in digital commerce.

Furthermore, AR's role in advancing sustainable global business practices highlights its potential to influence consumer behaviour toward ecofriendly and socially responsible engagement. By embedding ethical frameworks into ARdriven experiences, businesses can foster trust, encourage responsible consumption, and contribute to the creation of inclusive, transparent, and globally accountable digital ecosystems.

This paper explores the intersection of AR, customer engagement, and governance, emphasizing how immersive technologies can advance ethical imperatives in digital business. It sets the stage for understanding AR not merely as a technological advancement, but as a catalyst for integrity and sustainability in global markets.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- Alimamy, S., & Nadeem, W. (2025). Engaging consumers with AR in sustainability: Developing the SMART measurement scale. *Journal of Business Research*, 186, Article 114981. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2024.114981>
- Flavián, C., Ibáñez-Sánchez, S., & Orús, C. (2019). The impact of augmented reality, virtual reality and 360-degree interactive videos on the customer journey. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 100, 547–560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.02.006>
- Floridi, L. (2013). *The ethics of information*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199641321.001.0001>
- Hilken, T., de Ruyter, K., Chylinski, M., Mahr, D., & Keeling, D. I. (2017). Augmenting the eye of the beholder: Exploring the strategic potential of augmented reality to enhance online service experiences. *Journal of Retailing*, 93(4), 484–502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2017.10.001>
- Poushneh, A. (2018). Augmented reality in retail: How AR floor plans and visual overlays impact user control and privacy risk. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 45, 175–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2018.08.014>
- Rauschnabel, P. A., Babin, B. J., tom Dieck, M. C., Krey, N., & Jung, T. (2022). What is augmented reality marketing? Concepts, framework, and future research directions. *Journal of Business Research*, 142, 1140–1150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.084>
- Roesner, F., Kohno, T., & Denning, T. (2021). Security and privacy for augmented reality systems: A spatial computing perspective. *Communications of the ACM*, 64(4), 58–65. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3426868>

- Rosenberg, L. (2022). The Metaverse and augmented reality: Ethical considerations and governance risks. In 2022 IEEE International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Extended Reality (AIxR) (pp. 173–177). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AIxR55174.2022.00042>
- Wedel, M., Bigné, E., & Zhang, J. (2020). Virtual and augmented reality: Advancing customer experiences through spatial visualization. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 37(3), 443–465. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2020.04.004>
- Werner, L., Brey, P., & Henschke, A. (2025). Augmented reality and ethics: Key issues. *Virtual Reality*, 29(1), Article 22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-024-01072-4>

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

1. To evaluate AR as a mechanism for corporate transparency and integrity
 - Examine how AR interfaces can visualize real-time, verifiable data (such as supply chain provenance, fair-trade practices, and raw material sourcing) to reduce information asymmetry between businesses and consumers.
2. To analyze the impact of ethical AR engagement on consumer trust and loyalty
 - Assess how embedding transparent governance features—such as real-time spatial privacy controls and audited sustainability metrics—influences brand perception, consumer credibility, and long-term brand equity.
3. To investigate AR's role in promoting responsible consumption and sustainability
 - Explore how interactive spatial overlays (e.g., carbon footprint visualizers, product lifecycle tracking, and recycling guides) educate consumers and nudge them toward eco-friendly purchasing decisions.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research Approach

This study adopts a qualitative research approach to explore how Augmented Reality (AR) can contribute to corporate transparency, consumer trust, ethical engagement, and responsible consumption. A qualitative approach is appropriate because the study seeks to understand how consumers interpret AR-based information and how they perceive the credibility, usefulness, privacy implications, and sustainability benefits of such technologies.

The study will focus on participants' experiences, opinions, expectations, and perceptions regarding AR features such as supply-chain visualization, product provenance, sustainability information, privacy controls, carbon-footprint displays, product lifecycle tracking, and recycling guidance.

2. Research Design

The study will use an **exploratory qualitative research design**. The exploratory design is suitable because the application of AR as a tool for corporate transparency, ethical governance, and sustainable consumption is an emerging area that requires deeper understanding of consumer perspectives.

Rather than testing predetermined relationships statistically, the study will explore how consumers understand and respond to different AR-based transparency and sustainability features.

3. Research Objectives and Qualitative Focus

Objective 1: To evaluate AR as a mechanism for corporate transparency and integrity

The study will explore how consumers perceive AR interfaces that provide real-time and verifiable corporate information. Particular attention will be given to:

- Supply-chain provenance
- Product origin
- Raw-material sourcing
- Fair-trade practices
- Production processes
- Verification of corporate claims

Participants were asked how seeing this information through an AR interface might affect their understanding of a product and their perception of corporate honesty and accountability.

Objective 2: To analyze the impact of ethical AR engagement on consumer trust and loyalty

The study will investigate how consumers perceive ethical AR practices and whether transparent governance features could influence their relationship with brands.

The discussion will focus on:

- Transparency of AR-generated information
- Real-time spatial privacy controls
- Consumer control over personal and spatial data
- Audited sustainability metrics
- Brand credibility

- Consumer trust
- Brand perception
- Purchase intention
- Customer loyalty
- Long-term brand relationship

Participants encouraged to explain what would make them trust or distrust an AR-enabled brand.

Objective 3: To investigate AR's role in promoting responsible consumption and sustainability

The study will explore whether interactive AR features can increase consumers' understanding of the environmental consequences of their purchases.

The research will examine perceptions of:

- Carbon-footprint visualizers
- Product lifecycle tracking
- Recycling instructions
- Sustainable-material information
- Environmental impact indicators
- Eco-friendly alternatives
- Product disposal information

Participants were asked whether such interactive information could influence their purchasing and post-purchase behaviour.

4. Study Population

The target population will consist of consumers who are familiar with online shopping, smartphones, digital applications, or AR-enabled technologies.

Young consumers may be given particular attention because they are frequent users of digital technologies and are likely to interact with AR-based shopping and product-information applications.

Participants do not necessarily need extensive technical knowledge of AR. However, they should be able to understand the basic concept of AR and respond to examples or demonstrations presented during the research.

5. Sampling Technique

The study will use purposive sampling to select participants who can provide relevant and meaningful information about the research topic.

Participants will be selected based on criteria such as:

- Experience with online shopping or digital commerce
- Familiarity with smartphone applications
- Awareness or experience of AR applications, where possible
- Willingness to discuss technology, transparency, trust, and sustainability

A sample of **25 participants** may be appropriate for an exploratory qualitative study, depending on the depth of interviews and the point at which data saturation is reached.

6. Data Collection Method

The primary method of data collection will be semi-structured interviews.

Semi-structured interviews are suitable because they provide a consistent set of research questions while allowing participants to explain their experiences and opinions in their own words. Each interview may last approximately 30 minutes and be conducted face-to-face.

7. Use of AR Scenarios or Demonstrations

To make the research more meaningful, participants showed hypothetical AR scenarios or prototype interfaces during the interviews.

For example:

Scenario 1 – Product Provenance

Participants view an AR interface that displays the origin of raw materials, supplier information, manufacturing location, and supply-chain history when they scan a product.

Scenario 2 – Ethical Governance

Participants view an AR interface displaying privacy controls and independently audited sustainability information.

Scenario 3 – Sustainable Consumption

Participants view an AR product overlay showing carbon footprint, product lifecycle information, environmental impact, and recycling instructions.

After each scenario, participants asked to explain their reactions, perceived usefulness, concerns, and whether the information would influence their purchasing decisions.

This approach allows participants to respond to concrete examples rather than discussing AR only as an abstract concept.

8. Interview questions

The semi-structured interview is organized into the following areas.

Part A: General Understanding of AR

- What is your understanding of Augmented Reality in shopping and consumer applications?
- Have you previously used or experienced an AR-based shopping or product-information application?

Part B: AR and Corporate Transparency

- How would you feel if an AR application showed the complete origin and supply-chain journey of a product?
- Would seeing information about raw-material sourcing and fair-trade practices through AR make a company appear more transparent? Why?
- What type of information would you need to see before considering AR-provided corporate information trustworthy?

Part C: AR, Trust, Privacy, and Loyalty

- How would transparent AR privacy controls affect your willingness to use an AR shopping application?
- Would independently audited sustainability information displayed through AR increase your trust in a brand? Why or why not?
- In what ways could ethical use of AR influence your perception of a company's credibility and your willingness to remain loyal to the brand?

Part D: AR and Sustainable Consumption

- How would an AR carbon-footprint visualizer influence your understanding of the environmental impact of a product?
- Would product lifecycle information or AR recycling instructions influence your purchasing or disposal decisions? Please explain.

Part E: Overall Perception

- What are the major benefits you see in using AR for corporate transparency and sustainability?
- What concerns or risks would you have regarding the use of AR for collecting or displaying consumer information?
- What recommendations would you give companies that want to use AR ethically and sustainably?

9. Trustworthiness of the Study

To ensure the quality and credibility of the qualitative findings, the study will consider four principles of qualitative trustworthiness:

Credibility: Participants selected carefully, and sufficient time given for detailed interviews. Where appropriate, participants may be asked to confirm whether the researcher's interpretation reflects their views.

Transferability: The research methodology and characteristics of participants will be described clearly so that readers can determine whether the findings may apply to other consumer groups.

Dependability: A consistent interview guide and systematic coding procedure will be maintained throughout the study.

Confirmability: The researcher will maintain records of interview transcripts, codes, themes, and analytical decisions to demonstrate that findings are grounded in participants' responses rather than researcher assumptions.

10. Ethical Considerations

Ethical principles will be followed throughout the research process. Participants will be informed about the purpose of the study and will provide informed consent before participating.

Participation will be voluntary, and participants will have the right to withdraw at any stage. Personal identities will not be disclosed in the research report.

Because the study specifically examines privacy and ethical AR engagement, particular care will be taken when collecting and storing interview recordings and transcripts. Participants will also be informed about whether any AR demonstrations involve location, spatial, camera, or other personal data.

V. FINDINGS

The qualitative study is expected to provide an in-depth understanding of how consumers perceive AR as a tool for corporate transparency, ethical governance, and sustainability.

The research may reveal that consumers value AR when it provides accessible, verifiable, and meaningful information, particularly regarding product origins, supply chains, and sustainability claims. At the same time, concerns regarding privacy, data collection, information accuracy, and potential manipulation may affect consumer acceptance.

The study is also expected to identify how interactive sustainability features such as carbon-footprint visualization, product lifecycle tracking, and recycling guidance can improve environmental awareness and potentially encourage more responsible consumption.

Overall, the methodology will help explain not only whether consumers accept ethical AR, but also why they trust, distrust, use, or reject AR-based transparency and sustainability features.

VI. CONCLUSION

The study concludes that Augmented Reality (AR) has significant potential to function beyond its conventional role as a marketing and visualization tool by becoming a mechanism for corporate transparency, ethical engagement, and sustainable consumer decision-making. By providing consumers with interactive and accessible information, AR can help bridge the information gap between businesses and consumers and enable consumers to make more informed choices.

With regard to the first objective, AR can enhance corporate transparency and integrity by allowing consumers to access information about product provenance, supply-chain activities, fair-trade practices, and raw-material sourcing through interactive spatial interfaces. The ability to present verifiable and real-time information can improve consumers' understanding of how products are produced and sourced. This can potentially increase corporate accountability and reduce information asymmetry between companies and consumers.

In relation to the second objective, the study highlights the importance of ethical AR engagement in building consumer trust and loyalty. Transparent privacy controls, clear data practices, and independently audited sustainability information can strengthen perceptions of corporate credibility. Consumers are more likely to engage with AR technologies when they understand how their data are collected and used and when the information presented by brands is reliable and verifiable. Therefore, ethical governance should be considered an essential component of AR implementation rather than merely an additional feature.

Regarding the third objective, AR can play an important role in promoting responsible consumption and sustainability. Interactive features such as carbon-footprint visualizers, product lifecycle tracking, sustainable-material information, and recycling guides can

transform complex environmental information into accessible and engaging experiences. Such features can improve environmental awareness and encourage consumers to consider sustainability when making purchasing and post-purchase decisions.

However, the effectiveness of AR depends on the accuracy, transparency, usability, and ethical management of the information provided. AR should not be used merely as a promotional tool to create an impression of sustainability without reliable evidence. Businesses need to ensure that sustainability claims are verifiable, privacy protections are clearly communicated, and consumers have meaningful control over their data.

Overall, the study suggests that ethically designed AR can create value for both consumers and businesses by connecting transparency, trust, accountability, and sustainability within the consumer experience. When implemented responsibly, AR can empower consumers with better information and encourage more environmentally conscious choices while helping businesses strengthen credibility and long-term brand relationships. Future research could further examine these perceptions across different industries and consumer groups and investigate how actual AR experiences influence purchasing behaviour over time.

VII. REFERENCES

1. Joerß, T., Hoffmann, S., Mai, R., & Akbar, P. (2021). Digitalization as solution to environmental problems? When users rely on augmented reality-recommendation agents. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 510–523. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.02.019>
2. Shi, W., Rumokoy, F. S., & Frank, B. (2025). Customer loyalty through augmented reality apps: Quality attributes, market differences, and trust as a mediator. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 36(7–8), 759–787. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783363.2025.2477169>
3. Irshad, W., Zhou, E., Rasheed, H. M. W., Mumtaz, M. U., & Khurshid, R. (2025). Does augmented reality apps trigger loyalty toward the brand? A myth or reality: The case of UK and UAE consumers. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 24(3), 1154–1178. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2458>
4. Lavoye, V., Petit, O., Tarkiainen, A., & Sipilä, J. (2025). Self-proximity in augmented reality enhances consumer's responses to green products through anticipated warm glow. *Journal of Business Research*, 196, 115403. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2025.115403>
5. Cauwelier, K., Buldeo Rai, H., & Mommens, K. (2025). Thinking fast, slow delivery: Nudging sustainable choices among Gen Z consumers. *Research in Transportation Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2025.101657>



6. Understanding how augmented reality's immersive experience and privacy concerns impact consumer responses: A cognitive perspective. (2025). *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 87, 104425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2025.104425>
7. Informing food choices with mobile augmented reality: A field experiment on food affordances, functionality, and sustainability. (2026). *Food and Humanity*, 6, 101159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foohum.2026.101159>